



REQUEST FOR PROPOSAL ADDENDUM
Parks and Recreation Dept
CITY OF AUSTIN, TEXAS

RFP #: 8600 AVB3000

Addendum No: 1

Date of Addendum: 2/22/2021

This addendum is to incorporate the following changes to the above referenced solicitation:

I. Extension:

- I.1 Extend, OFFERS DUE, Date and Time on Cover Sheet to, Thursday, March 4, 2021 @ 2:00 CST P.M.

II. Additional Information:

Attendance sheet for the Pre-Proposal meeting held on Tuesday, 2/9/2021, is attached.

III. Deletion:

Solicitation Instructions page 8, 11.1 Evaluation Factors, Qualifications and Experience:

For the Qualifications and Experience listed above, provide:

- A list of all individual employees or subcontractors assigned to this project:
 - The qualifications and experience of **Each** individual employee or subcontractor as related to the Qualifications; and **include** examples of:
 - Relevant projects (up to 5 projects);
 - Each employee or subcontractor's specific roles and responsibilities on each project;
 - The number of hours worked on each project; and
 - At least two references for each project.

IV. Addition:

Solicitation Instructions page 7, 10.4 Proposer Experience,

Section 10.4.5 Qualifications and Experience:

For the Qualifications and Experience listed in 11.1, provide:

- A list of all individual employees or subcontractors assigned to this project:
- For **each** individual employee and subcontractor (if applicable) listed, include:
 - Up to 5 Relevant projects;
 - Specific roles and responsibilities on each project;
 - Number of hours worked on each project; and
 - At least two references for each project.

V. Questions:

Q1. Would an awarded provider serving in a prime or subcontractor role be precluded from future implementation of the Land Management Plan's recommended restoration and management strategies?

A1. The contractor (awarded provider or its subcontractors) awarded this contract will not be excluded from future implementations of the Land Management Plans.

Q2. Can I wait to assign sub-consultants after the contract is executed since this is a no-goals solicitation?

A2. Yes, sub-consultants can be assigned after the contract execution on a no-goals solicitation. The contractor shall contact SMBR if they decide to use subconsultants after the contract has been executed.

Q3. I could not locate all the referenced plan documents. How can I get these files?

A3. All documents pertaining to this solicitation, including referenced plan documents, are located at the City's Austin Finance Online site:
https://www.austintexas.gov/financeonline/account_services/solicitation/solicitation_details.cf?m?sid=134965

If you are unable to access this link, please contact the HELP desk as listed in the solicitation.

Q4. How long do you anticipate from Feb 25 to the Notice To Proceed (NTP) for this work? I'm wondering when the site analysis will begin.

A4. The City anticipates presenting this item to Council in May 2021 and the contract execution should occur shortly thereafter. The NTP will occur following contract execution which should occur in June 2021.

Q5. Are there any socio-cultural aspects of the vulnerability analysis that we should consider for this work?

A5. There is no socio-cultural aspects of the vulnerability analysis that should be considered for this work. Socio-cultural aspects of climate vulnerability are addressed in the draft Austin Climate Equity Plan found here: <https://www.speakupaustin.org/community-climate-plan>. This solicitation will only consider the biotic and abiotic components of ecosystems that contribute to the vulnerability of the parklands included in this scope. Vulnerability beyond the boundaries of the properties in this scope will not be explicitly considered. For long-term planning, this land management plan will help Austin achieve those equity goals, but do not need to be considered here.

Q6. The RFP mentions an analysis of hydrology and Climate-related concerns such as flooding. Is it the intention of the provider to conduct a watershed-level analysis and provide management strategies to address ecosystem function concerns associated with streams/riparian zones that make up part of the Austin Park's system?

A6. It is not the City's intention for the provider to conduct a watershed-level analysis and provide management strategies to address ecosystem function concerns associated with streams/riparian zones that make up part of the Austin Park's systems.

The solicitation does not require consideration of lands, properties, or conditions beyond the boundaries of the specific properties included in the scope. This plan is only intended to guide management of those parklands including streams and riparian zones; it is not intended to inform decisions or shape policy elsewhere. However, if the Contractor has knowledge, expertise, or data pertinent to areas outside these specific parklands that would be valuable to this project, it would be welcome. 4.1.3. Site analysis - Hydrology. Here, we just need consideration of the basic hydrological aspects of the sites needed for land managers to make decisions. E.g. Location with respect to the Edwards Aquifer recharge zone (geospatial layers will be provided), maps of streams (geospatial layers will be provided), basic observed conditions (any acute or immediate issues that may need to be addressed). Much of this general information is already included in existing documentation. No need to recreate what we already have. 4.1.4. Discussion of major threats to ecosystem stability - Climate change (such as heat, drought, and flooding). Here, we need discussion of how climate change is expected to generally affect ecosystems in the coming decades. No new field work or data collection is expected here. The forecasted trajectories of temperature and rainfall for central Texas have been well analyzed and are not controversial. We only need a coherent summary of the issue. We can directly reference or include excerpts from other more in-depth reports that the City has already created or procured. 4.1.5. Climate vulnerability analysis. With respect to flooding or other climate-related outcomes, what are the biotic and abiotic components of our ecosystems that will affect the likelihood of those outcomes? For flooding specifically, are there physical components of ecosystems that make them more or less vulnerable to flooding? What are those components? Can they be mapped? If so, let's map them.

Q7. Regarding section 11.1 Evaluation Factors 1. If we are including subcontractors, do you want to see five projects per firm, or five projects TOTAL from the Prime firm only? 2. What section would you like these projects to be listed in – 10.4.2, 10.8, or another?

A7. This response for subcontractor projects and where to list information has been identified above, please see “Section IV Additions” with this ADDENDUM 1.

Q8. What types of Sample Work for 10.8 would you like to see from firms?

The type of Sample work for 10.8 firm should provide is anything that demonstrates relevant knowledge and experience to this project and supports the desired Qualifications and Experience requested in the scope and section 11.1.

Q9. What is the total number of acres the contract covers?

A9. The total number of acres the contract covers is 10,348.6 acres.

VI. ALL OTHER TERMS AND CONDITIONS REMAIN THE SAME.

INCLUDE A COPY OF THIS ADDENDUM TO THE PURCHASING OFFICE, WITH YOUR RESPONSE OR PRIOR TO THE SOLICITATION CLOSE DATE. FAILURE TO DO SO MAY CONSTITUTE GROUNDS FOR REJECTION.